

# PDR RID Report

**Date Last Modified** 6/8/95

**Originator** Kevin Schaefer

**Phone No** 202-358-0755

**Organization** NASA HQ

**E Mail Address** kschaef@mtpe.hq.nasa.gov

**Document** Data Modeling

<b>RID ID</b>	<b>PDR</b>	429
<b>Review</b>	SDPS	
<b>Originator Ref</b>		
<b>Priority</b>	2	

**Section**

**Page**

**Figure Table**

**Category Name** Data Modeling

**Actionee** HAIS

**Sub Category**

**Subject** Only need 1 set of Valid

## **Description of Problem or Suggestion:**

The ECS should not develop a new set of valids (and associated infrastructure). Any "valids" structure for Version 1 should be derived directly from the existing valids structure. If ECS develops a new valids structure, we will end up with 2 structures at Version 1. The DAACs and Version 0 team has spent a great deal of time in the current set of valids -- we cannot just throw that away.

## **Originator's Recommendation**

The ECS should submit their proposed changes to the valids to the DAACs for review and approval.

**GSFC Response by:**

**GSFC Response Date**

**HAIS Response by:** Eisenstein

**HAIS Schedule** 5/22/95

**HAIS R. E.** Heller

**HAIS Response Date** 5/16/95

The Hughes team are not developing a new set of valids. A Valid Working Group is currently meeting through ESDIS arrangement with those on ECS who are developing the incremental track software, with Amanda Wingo of the Data Modeling team in attendance. The results of this working group will be incorporated into our work. However, it is imperative that Version 1 inputs be accommodated in this working group (e.g., from the EOS platform instrument teams). In addition, Version 1 has many more attributes in its data model than Version 0; valid values for those attributes must be provided and cannot be derived from Version 0.

**Status** **Closed**

**Date Closed** 6/8/95

**Sponsor** **Meyer**

\*\*\*\*\* Attachment if any \*\*\*\*\*